

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/621,192	07/15/2003	Xianhai Chen	26822-0014 CI	2335	
25213	7590 02/03/2004		EXAMINER		
HELLER EHRMAN WHITE & MCAULIFFE LLP 275 MIDDLEFIELD ROAD			WATKINS III, WILLIAM P		
MENLO PARK, CA 94025-3506			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1772		
			DATE MAILED: 02/03/2004	DATE MAILED: 02/03/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

ss ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
•	10/621,192	CHEN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	William P. Watkins III	1772			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONE.	ely filed will be considered timely. he mailing date of this communication.			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 Jun	ly 2003.				
	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers	4				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorit application from the International Bureau (* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic since a specific reference was included in the first 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provi 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic reference was included in the first sentence of the	have been received. have been received in Application y documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). If the certified copies not received priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) sentence of the specification or in isional application has been recei priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 a	n No in this National Stage (to a provisional application) n an Application Data Sheet. ved. nd/or 121 since a specific			
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Delta Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Delta Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Delta Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Delta Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	5) Notice of Informal Pate	TO-413) Paper No(s) ent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/621,192 Page 2

Art Unit: 1772

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. The examiner was unable to obtain the non US references from the parent file and will complete the balance of the 1449 at the time of the next office action.
- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-14 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maruyama et al. (U.S. 4,735,778).

Maruyama et al. teaches a microtiter plate, which may be made of a rubber and acrylic material that is used for the display and observation of biological fluids (abstract, col. 6, lines 50-65). The instant invention claims a display with cells with fluid with rubber and a curable compound in the walls. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have selected rubber and acrylic from the material options

Art Unit: 1772

taught by the reference in order to practice the reference. Variation of the ratios of the components is taken as being within the ordinary skill of the art.

Page 3

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

5. Claims 1-17 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-17 of copending Application No. 09/840,756. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the instant claims are broader than the claims in the parent case.

Application/Control Number: 10/621,192 Page 4

Art Unit: 1772

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William P. Watkins III whose telephone number is 703-308-2420 (changes to 571-272-1503 as of Jan. 04). The examiner works an increased flex time schedule, but can normally be reached Monday through Friday, 11:30 A.M. through 8:00 P.M. Eastern Time. The examiner returns all calls within one business day unless an extended absence is noted on his voice mail greeting.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 703-308-4251. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0651.

WW/ww January 26, 2004

WILLIAM P. WATKINS III PRIMARY EXAMINER

Million A. William